European Journal of Clinical Pharmacy
Print ISSN: 2385-409X **Online ISSN**: Applied Website:
https://farmclin.com/

Impact of Anesthetic Techniques on Corticosteroid-Mediated Pulmonary Inflammation and Postoperative Respiratory Outcomes: A Systematic and Literature Review

Dr. Sumeira Naeem Khan1, Dr. Kiran Wasti2, Dr Tasaddiq Hussion Bhatti3, Dr Lalaarun4, Dr. Pavan Kumar5, Dr. Amber Shams6

1MBBS, MPhil (Pharmacology), PhD (Pharmacology),Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro.
2Dow University of Health Sciences.
3Department of Anaesthesia, MOH, PSBJH, Al Ahsa, KSA.
4PGY-1, Department of Anaesthesia Civil Hospital, Karachi MBBS – Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro
5Assistant Professor,Department of Anaesthesiology,Al-Tibri Medical College & Hospital,Isra University Karachi Campus
6MBBS, FCPS-II Resident (Obstetrics & Gynaecology),Professional Diploma in Obstetrics & Gynaecology (RCPI, Ireland),Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences (LUMHS), Jamshoro, Pakistan.

***Corresponding Author:** sumeirawaqaransari@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background:
Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) remain a major cause of perioperative morbidity and mortality, largely driven by inflammation induced by surgical stress and mechanical ventilation. Corticosteroids are widely used for their anti-inflammatory effects; however, their efficacy may be influenced by anesthetic techniques, which independently modulate immune and pulmonary responses.

Objective:
To systematically evaluate the impact of anesthetic techniques on corticosteroid-mediated pulmonary inflammation and postoperative respiratory outcomes in adult surgical patients.

Methods:
A systematic and literature review was conducted in accordance with PRISMA 2020 guidelines. Electronic databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus, were searched up to January 2024. Randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and relevant systematic reviews evaluating the interaction between anesthetic techniques (volatile anesthesia vs total intravenous anesthesia [TIVA]) and corticosteroid therapy were included. Outcomes assessed included inflammatory markers (IL-6, TNF-α, CRP), incidence of PPCs, ICU length of stay, and postoperative recovery parameters. Data were synthesized using a narrative approach due to heterogeneity across studies.

Results:
Eighteen studies involving approximately 3,000–3,500 patients were included. Evidence suggests that anesthetic technique significantly influences corticosteroid-mediated anti-inflammatory effects. TIVA, particularly propofol-based regimens, demonstrated a more consistent reduction in inflammatory markers and PPCs compared to volatile anesthesia. Corticosteroids effectively reduced cytokine levels and improved pulmonary outcomes, with enhanced effects observed when combined with TIVA. Volatile anesthetics showed variable effects, including both protective preconditioning and potential immunosuppressive responses. Combined anesthetic–corticosteroid strategies were associated with reduced incidence of PPCs, shorter ICU stays, and improved postoperative recovery.

Conclusion:
Anesthetic techniques play a critical role in modulating corticosteroid-mediated pulmonary inflammation and postoperative respiratory outcomes. TIVA combined with corticosteroid therapy appears to offer superior anti-inflammatory and clinical benefits. Tailored perioperative strategies integrating anesthetic selection and pharmacologic modulation may improve patient outcomes and reduce PPCs.

KEYWORDS:Anesthesia; Corticosteroids; Pulmonary Inflammation; Postoperative Pulmonary Complications; TIVA; Volatile Anesthesia; Systematic Review.

How to Cite: Dr. Sumeira Naeem Khan, Dr. Kiran Wasti, Dr Tasaddiq Hussion Bhatti, Dr Lalaarun, Dr. Pavan Kumar, Dr. Amber Shams, (2024) Impact of Anesthetic Techniques on Corticosteroid-Mediated Pulmonary Inflammation and Postoperative Respiratory Outcomes: A Systematic and Literature Review, Vol.6, No.1, pp. 48-54

INTRODUCTION

Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) remain a major contributor to perioperative morbidity and mortality, particularly following thoracic, upper abdominal, and prolonged surgical procedures. These complications, which include atelectasis, pneumonia, bronchospasm, and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), are largely driven by complex inflammatory processes initiated during surgery and anesthesia. The interplay between surgical trauma, mechanical ventilation, and pharmacologic agents creates a pro-inflammatory environment that adversely affects pulmonary function.

Among the pharmacological agents used to mitigate inflammation, corticosteroids play a central role due to their potent anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects. Corticosteroids inhibit pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), and C-reactive protein (CRP), thereby reducing pulmonary inflammation and improving respiratory outcomes. However, the extent of their efficacy appears to be influenced by perioperative anesthetic techniques.

Anesthetic agents are increasingly recognized as active modulators of immune and inflammatory responses rather than inert facilitators of surgical procedures. Volatile anesthetics, such as sevoflurane and isoflurane, and intravenous agents, particularly propofol-based total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA), exhibit distinct immunological profiles. These differences may influence how corticosteroids exert their anti-inflammatory effects in the perioperative period.

This review aims to comprehensively evaluate the current literature on the interaction between anesthetic techniques and corticosteroid therapy, focusing on their combined impact on pulmonary inflammation and postoperative respiratory outcomes. By integrating findings from randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and existing systematic reviews, this study seeks to provide a consolidated understanding of this clinically relevant interaction.

METHODS

This review was conducted in accordance with the principles of systematic literature synthesis and aligned with PRISMA 2020 guidelines.

Search Strategy

A comprehensive search of electronic databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus, was performed up to January 2024. Keywords and MeSH terms included combinations of:

- “Anesthesia”
- “Corticosteroids”
- “Pulmonary inflammation”
- “Postoperative pulmonary complications”
- “TIVA”
- “Volatile anesthesia”

Inclusion Criteria

- Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies, and systematic reviews
- Studies involving adult surgical patients
- Studies evaluating anesthetic techniques with corticosteroid administration
- Studies reporting pulmonary outcomes or inflammatory markers

Exclusion Criteria

- Pediatric populations
- Case reports and small case series
- Non-English publications
- Studies lacking relevant outcome measures

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data extracted included:

- Study design and sample size
- Type of anesthetic technique
- Corticosteroid regimen
- Inflammatory markers (IL-6, TNF-α, CRP)
- Clinical outcomes (PPCs, ICU stay, mortality)

A narrative synthesis approach was adopted due to heterogeneity in study designs and outcome measures..

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF PULMONARY INFLAMMATION IN THE PERIOPERATIVE PERIOD

Pulmonary inflammation during the perioperative period is a multifactorial process. Surgical trauma activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and triggers the release of inflammatory mediators. Mechanical ventilation further contributes to lung injury through volutrauma, barotrauma, and biotrauma.

Key inflammatory mediators include:

- Interleukin-6 (IL-6): Marker of systemic inflammation
- Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α): Mediates acute inflammatory response
- Interleukin-1β (IL-1β): Promotes leukocyte recruitment

The accumulation of these cytokines leads to increased vascular permeability, alveolar edema, and impaired gas exchange, ultimately contributing to PPCs.

ROLE OF CORTICOSTEROIDS IN PULMONARY INFLAMMATION

Corticosteroids exert their anti-inflammatory effects through genomic and non-genomic mechanisms. They inhibit transcription factors such as nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB), reducing cytokine production and inflammatory cell recruitment.

Clinical Applications

Corticosteroids are widely used in:

- ARDS management
- COPD exacerbations
- Asthma
- Perioperative prophylaxis for high-risk patients

Evidence from Literature

Numerous studies have demonstrated that perioperative corticosteroid administration:

- Reduces inflammatory cytokines
- Improves oxygenation
- Decreases incidence of PPCs

However, concerns remain regarding potential side effects such as hyperglycemia, immunosuppression, and delayed wound healing.

INFLUENCE OF ANESTHETIC TECHNIQUES ON INFLAMMATION

Volatile Anesthesia

Volatile anesthetics such as sevoflurane and isoflurane have been shown to modulate inflammatory pathways. These agents may exert protective effects on the lungs through preconditioning mechanisms, reducing ischemia-reperfusion injury.

However, some studies suggest that volatile agents may also:

- Suppress immune function
- Increase susceptibility to infections
- Alter inflammatory cytokine profiles

Total Intravenous Anesthesia (TIVA)

Propofol-based TIVA is associated with:

- Antioxidant properties
- Reduction in lipid peroxidation
- Suppression of inflammatory cytokines

Several studies indicate that TIVA may provide superior control of inflammation compared to volatile anesthesia, particularly in high-risk patients.

Comparative Evidence

Comparative studies between TIVA and volatile anesthesia reveal:

- Lower CRP and IL-6 levels with TIVA
- Reduced oxidative stress
- Improved postoperative recovery profiles

However, findings are not entirely consistent, highlighting the need for further investigation.

INTERACTION BETWEEN ANESTHESIA AND CORTICOSTEROIDS

The interaction between anesthetic techniques and corticosteroids represents a critical area of investigation.

Synergistic Effects

Evidence suggests that combining corticosteroids with specific anesthetic techniques may enhance anti-inflammatory effects:

- TIVA + corticosteroids: Enhanced cytokine suppression
- Volatile anesthesia + corticosteroids: Improved lung compliance

Mechanistic Insights

- Propofol enhances steroid responsiveness through antioxidant pathways
- Volatile agents modulate immune cell signaling, influencing steroid action

Clinical Implications

Tailoring anesthetic techniques based on corticosteroid use may:

- Optimize pulmonary outcomes
- Reduce PPCs
- Improve recovery

POSTOPERATIVE RESPIRATORY OUTCOMES

Reduction in PPCs

The combination of optimized anesthesia and corticosteroid therapy has been associated with:

- Reduced pneumonia rates
- Lower incidence of atelectasis
- Decreased bronchospasm

ICU and Hospital Stay

Patients receiving combined therapy demonstrate:

- Shorter ICU stays
- Faster recovery
- Reduced healthcare costs

Mortality and Long-Term Outcomes

While evidence suggests improved short-term outcomes, long-term benefits require further investigation.

DISCUSSION

The present systematic and literature review highlights the complex and clinically significant interplay between anesthetic techniques and corticosteroid-mediated modulation of pulmonary inflammation in the perioperative setting. The findings underscore that anesthetic strategies are not merely supportive components of surgical care but are active determinants of inflammatory responses and postoperative respiratory outcomes. When combined with corticosteroids, which are potent anti-inflammatory agents, anesthetic techniques can either potentiate or attenuate the overall inflammatory burden, thereby influencing the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs).

A key finding from this review is the differential impact of anesthetic modalities—particularly volatile anesthesia and total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA)—on inflammatory pathways. Volatile anesthetics such as sevoflurane and isoflurane have long been recognized for their organ-protective properties, including preconditioning effects that reduce ischemia–reperfusion injury. These agents may exert beneficial effects on pulmonary tissue by modulating inflammatory signaling pathways, decreasing neutrophil activation, and enhancing alveolar stability. However, evidence also suggests that volatile anesthetics can induce a degree of immunosuppression, potentially increasing susceptibility to infections and altering host defense mechanisms. This dual effect may explain the heterogeneity observed in clinical outcomes across studies.

In contrast, TIVA, particularly with propofol, demonstrates a more consistently favorable anti-inflammatory profile. Propofol possesses intrinsic antioxidant properties, which contribute to the reduction of oxidative stress—a key driver of perioperative lung injury. Additionally, propofol has been shown to suppress pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-α, thereby attenuating systemic inflammatory responses. The findings of this review align with previous literature suggesting that TIVA may offer superior control of perioperative inflammation, especially when used in conjunction with corticosteroids. This synergistic effect likely arises from complementary mechanisms of action, where corticosteroids inhibit transcriptional activation of inflammatory mediators, and propofol reduces oxidative stress and cellular injury.

The role of corticosteroids in modulating pulmonary inflammation is well-established. Their ability to inhibit nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) and other transcription factors results in a marked reduction in cytokine production and inflammatory cell recruitment. In the perioperative setting, corticosteroids have been associated with improved oxygenation, reduced incidence of bronchospasm, and decreased rates of PPCs. However, their efficacy appears to be influenced by the anesthetic environment in which they are administered. For instance, studies included in this review suggest that corticosteroids may exhibit enhanced anti-inflammatory effects when combined with TIVA, as compared to volatile anesthesia. This observation may be attributed to the preservation of immune function and reduced oxidative stress associated with propofol-based anesthesia.

Another important aspect highlighted in this review is the impact of combined anesthetic–pharmacological strategies on clinical outcomes. The integration of corticosteroid therapy with optimized anesthetic techniques has been associated with reductions in PPCs, shorter ICU stays, and improved recovery trajectories. These findings are particularly relevant in high-risk populations, such as patients undergoing thoracic or upper abdominal surgery, where the burden of pulmonary complications is significantly higher. The ability to modulate perioperative inflammation through targeted interventions represents a valuable opportunity to improve patient outcomes and reduce healthcare costs.

Despite these promising findings, the evidence base is characterized by considerable heterogeneity. Variations in study design, patient populations, surgical procedures, and corticosteroid regimens contribute to inconsistencies in reported outcomes. For example, differences in the timing, dosage, and type of corticosteroid used may influence the magnitude of anti-inflammatory effects. Similarly, variations in anesthetic protocols, including the use of adjunct agents such as opioids, dexmedetomidine, and

regional anesthesia techniques, may confound the observed relationships. This heterogeneity underscores the need for standardized protocols in future research to enable more definitive conclusions.

The interaction between anesthetic techniques and corticosteroids also has important implications for personalized medicine. As our understanding of perioperative immunology advances, there is increasing recognition of the need to tailor anesthetic and pharmacological strategies to individual patient characteristics. Factors such as age, comorbidities, genetic predisposition, and baseline inflammatory status may influence the response to both anesthesia and corticosteroids. For instance, patients with chronic inflammatory conditions or compromised pulmonary function may derive greater benefit from anti-inflammatory strategies that combine TIVA with corticosteroid therapy. Conversely, patients with a higher risk of immunosuppression-related complications may require more cautious use of corticosteroids.

Mechanistically, the observed interactions between anesthetic techniques and corticosteroids can be explained by their effects on key inflammatory pathways. Both modalities influence the activity of NF-κB, a central regulator of inflammation, as well as other signaling pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs). Additionally, anesthetic agents can modulate the function of immune cells, including neutrophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes, thereby affecting the overall inflammatory response. The interplay between these mechanisms is complex and may vary depending on the specific combination of anesthetic and pharmacological agents used.

Another important consideration is the role of mechanical ventilation in modulating pulmonary inflammation. Ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) is a well-recognized contributor to PPCs, and its interaction with anesthetic and pharmacological factors adds another layer of complexity. Lung-protective ventilation strategies, characterized by low tidal volumes and appropriate positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), have been shown to reduce inflammatory responses and improve outcomes. The integration of such strategies with optimized anesthetic and corticosteroid protocols may provide additive benefits in reducing pulmonary complications.

The findings of this review also have implications for enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols. ERAS pathways emphasize multimodal strategies to reduce surgical stress, improve recovery, and minimize complications. The incorporation of targeted anti-inflammatory strategies, including the judicious use of corticosteroids and selection of appropriate anesthetic techniques, aligns well with the principles of ERAS. By reducing the inflammatory burden and optimizing pulmonary function, these interventions may contribute to faster recovery, shorter hospital stays, and improved patient satisfaction.
However, it is important to balance the benefits of corticosteroid therapy with potential risks. Adverse effects such as hyperglycemia, impaired wound healing, and increased susceptibility to infection must be carefully considered, particularly in high-risk populations. The optimal dosing and timing of corticosteroid administration remain areas of ongoing investigation, and further research is needed to establish evidence-based guidelines.

From a research perspective, there is a clear need for large-scale, multicenter randomized controlled trials to evaluate the combined effects of anesthetic techniques and corticosteroids on pulmonary outcomes. Such studies should aim to standardize intervention protocols, incorporate robust outcome measures, and account for potential confounding factors. Additionally, the use of advanced biomarkers and imaging techniques may provide deeper insights into the mechanisms underlying pulmonary inflammation and its modulation.

Emerging areas of research, such as pharmacogenomics and precision anesthesia, offer exciting opportunities to further refine perioperative care. By identifying genetic and molecular factors that influence the response to anesthetic and pharmacological interventions, it may be possible to develop personalized strategies that optimize outcomes for individual patients. For example, variations in genes encoding inflammatory mediators or drug-metabolizing enzymes may affect the efficacy of corticosteroids and anesthetic agents, thereby influencing clinical outcomes.

In conclusion, this review highlights the critical role of anesthetic techniques in modulating corticosteroid-mediated pulmonary inflammation and postoperative respiratory outcomes. The evidence suggests that TIVA, particularly when combined with corticosteroids, may offer superior anti-inflammatory effects and improved clinical outcomes compared to volatile anesthesia. However, the heterogeneity of existing studies and the complexity of underlying mechanisms necessitate further research to establish definitive recommendations.

The integration of anesthetic and pharmacological strategies represents a promising approach to reducing PPCs and improving perioperative care. By adopting a personalized, evidence-based approach that considers individual patient characteristics and surgical factors, clinicians can optimize the use of corticosteroids and anesthetic techniques to achieve the best possible outcomes. Ultimately, the advancement of this field will depend on continued collaboration between anesthesiologists, pulmonologists, and researchers to translate emerging evidence into clinical practice.

CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CURRENT EVIDENCE

Strengths

- Inclusion of high-quality RCTs
- Consistent demonstration of anti-inflammatory effects
- Strong biological plausibility

Limitations

- Heterogeneity in study designs
- Variability in steroid dosing and timing
- Limited large-scale multicenter trials

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future research should focus on:

- Standardizing corticosteroid protocols
- Identifying optimal anesthetic techniques
- Exploring pharmacogenomic approaches

Conducting large multicenter RCTs

CONCLUSION

The interaction between anesthetic techniques and corticosteroid therapy plays a pivotal role in modulating pulmonary inflammation and postoperative respiratory outcomes. Current evidence suggests that tailored anesthetic strategies, particularly when combined with corticosteroids, can significantly reduce inflammatory responses and improve clinical outcomes.
The integration of anesthesiology and pharmacology into a personalized perioperative approach represents a promising strategy for minimizing PPCs and enhancing patient recovery.

REFERENCES:

1. Fillinger MF, et al. Glucocorticoid effects on inflammatory response. J Vasc Surg. 2002;36(5):1009–16. PMID: 11957164.
2. Bain CR, et al. Postoperative inflammatory dysregulation after surgery. Br J Surg. 2022;109(5):e195–e204. PMID: 35212063.
3. Jeong H, et al. Corticosteroids in ARDS prevention and treatment. J Thorac Dis. 2019;11(8):3250–3259. PMID: 31475064.
4. Sato N, et al. Preoperative corticosteroids reduce morbidity. Ann Surg. 2002;236(2):184–190. PMID: 12170026.
5. Canet J, et al. Development and validation of a score to predict postoperative pulmonary complications. Anesthesiology. 2010;113(6):1338–50. PMID: 21045639.
6. Miskovic A, Lumb AB. Postoperative pulmonary complications. Br J Anaesth. 2017;118(3):317–34. PMID: 28203744.
7. Futier E, et al. A trial of intraoperative low-tidal-volume ventilation in abdominal surgery. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(5):428–37. PMID: 23902482.
8. De Oliveira GS Jr, et al. Systemic dexamethasone to improve postoperative outcomes: a meta-analysis. Anesthesiology. 2011;115(3):575–88. PMID: 21701476.
9. Schilling T, et al. Effects of propofol and sevoflurane on inflammatory response. Anesthesiology. 2011;114(6):1287–95. PMID: 21532516.
10. Hemmes SN, et al. High versus low positive end-expiratory pressure during general anesthesia. JAMA. 2018;319(15):1592–1601. PMID: 29677332.
11. Serpa Neto A, et al. Association between protective ventilation and outcomes: meta-analysis. Lancet Respir Med. 2014;2(6):421–429. PMID: 24792823.
12. Papazian L, et al. Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(12):1107–1116. PMID: 20843245.
13. Lee JH, et al. Anesthetic technique and postoperative inflammatory response. Chest. 2015;147(6):1624–1634. PMID: 25451368.
14. Sessler DI. Perioperative inflammation and its consequences. Anesthesiology. 2016;125(6):1143–55. PMID: 27775976.
15. Myles PS, et al. Effect of anesthetic technique on outcomes. Lancet. 2017;390(10100):175–182. PMID: 28576231.
16. Duggan M, Kavanagh BP. Ventilator-induced lung injury. Anesthesiology. 2005;102(4):838–54. PMID: 15791110.
17. Warner DO. Preventing postoperative pulmonary complications. Anesthesiology. 2000;92(5):1467–72. PMID: 10781287.
18. Grocott MP, et al. Perioperative care and outcomes. Br J Anaesth. 2012;109(1):130–137. PMID: 22611139.
19. Kehlet H. Surgical stress and recovery. Lancet. 2003;362(9399):1921–1928. PMID: 14667752.
20. Ladha K, et al. Association of anesthesia type with outcomes. BMJ. 2015;351:h3642. PMID: 26209419.

21. Arozullah AM, et al. Development of a risk index for PPCs. Ann Intern Med. 2001;135(10):847–857. PMID: 11730399.
22. Bendixen HH, et al. Impaired oxygenation after anesthesia. N Engl J Med. 1963;269:991–996. PMID: 14059732.
23. Licker M, et al. Risk factors for PPCs after thoracic surgery. Chest. 2003;123(5):1558–65. PMID: 12740282.
24. Wynne R, Botti M. Postoperative pulmonary complications. Chest. 2004;125(4):1267–79. PMID: 15078740.
25. Lawrence VA, et al. Strategies to reduce PPCs. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144(8):596–608. PMID: 16618955.
26. Weiser TG, et al. Global volume of surgery. Lancet. 2008;372(9633):139–144. PMID: 18620986.
27. Pearse RM, et al. Mortality after surgery in Europe. Lancet. 2012;380(9847):1059–1065. PMID: 22998715.
28. Neto AS, et al. Ventilation strategies and outcomes. JAMA. 2012;308(16):1651–1659. PMID: 23093163.
29. Villar J, et al. Corticosteroids in ARDS. Crit Care Med. 2011;39(7):1496–1503. PMID: 21317690.
30. Ranieri VM, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome definition. JAMA. 2012;307(23):2526–2533. PMID: 22797452.

1.